

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/626,568	07/27/2000	Kenneth John Aagaard	CBS 2000-01	9613	
75	90 06/07/2004		EXAMI	NER	
LOUIS A. DePAUL, ESQ.			YE, LIN		
REED SMITH	LLP				
P.O. BOX 488		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBÉR		
Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0488			2612	9	
	•		DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004	DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

fr

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/626,568	AAGAARD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lin Ye	2612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju	ilv 2000					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-5</u> .	6) Other:	,, ,				
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 8				

Art Unit: 2612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 6 recites the limitation "...the computer..." in page 14, line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The examiner suggests that the applicant should changes "the computer" to -- the control system--.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yuen U.S.
   Publication 2002/0005902.

Referring to claim 1, the Yuen reference discloses in Figure 1, a video imaging system, the system comprising: a master video camera (camera 104 operating in wide-angle, see [0013]) for producing video images of a moving object (100) of interest; a plurality of additional video cameras (108 and 112) each positioned at a different location for producing additional video images of the object of interest from different spatial perspectives; and a control system for controlling said additional video cameras in response to the master video camera to follow movement of the object of interest (e.g., the master camera 104 may pan,

Art Unit: 2612

tilt or zoom depend on if the field of view and resolution of master camera 104 are sufficient to follow the interest target 100 as it moves form place to place for determining the target 100 in three-dimensional space; and at least one additional camera operates in a pan/tilt/zoom-in mode relative to the master camera. See [0015]).

Referring to claim 7, the Yuen reference discloses all subject matter as discussed with respected to same comment as with claim 1.

- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McClintock U.S.
   Patent 5,598,208.

Referring to claim 11, the McClintock reference discloses in Figure 1-4, a method of generating a television image, said method comprising the steps of: producing a plurality of video images (by a plurality of video cameras 22, see Col. 4, lines 62) of an object of interest from a plurality of spatial perspectives (i.e., the position of the cares 18 of the roller coaster); displaying one of said video images for a period of time; selecting a frame in the displayed video image; and switching the displayed video image among a plurality of corresponding frames of said plurality of video images to display the object of interest from multiple spatial perspectives (See Col. 5, lines 52-65 and Col. 6, lines 19-35).

Referring to claim 12, the McClintock reference discloses in Figure 3, a display for displaying another one of the plurality of video images.

Art Unit: 2612

Referring to claim 13, the Yuen and McClintock references disclose all subject matter as discussed with respected to same comment as with claim 11.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 2, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yuen U.S.
   Publication 2002/0005902 in view of Honey et al. U.S. Patent 5,912,700.

Referring to claims 2 and 5, the Yuen references discloses all subject matter as discussed in respected claim 1, and a plurality of position units (pan/tilt mount 110 and 116) for positioning the additional cameras (108 and 112) and automatic zoom/focusing and frame (for recording or broadcasting) control for each of the additional video cameras capabilities in response to control signals form the control system (processor 120). However, the reference does not explicitly shows a monitoring unit for transmitting signals representative of an operating status (position, such as pan/ tilt, and zoom/focus parameters) of the master camera to the control system.

The Honey reference disclose in Figure 8, a system for enhancing the television presentation of an object at a sporting event, includes a monitoring unit (zoom/focus sensor

Art Unit: 2612

282, pan sensor 288 and tilt sensor 290) for transmitting signals representative of an operating status of the master camera (broadcast camera 280) to the control system (processor 302 in the remote processor unit 286) (See Col. 9, lines 41-45). The Honey reference is evidence the one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to see more advantage for the camera system including a monitoring unit for detecting the field of view (camera position and focus/zoom parameters) of the camera, so that the system can determine the interested target in free space more actually with respect to a sporting event. For that reason, it would have been obvious to see the camera system having a monitoring unit for transmitting signals representative of an operating status of the master camera to the control system disclosed by Yuen.

Claim 3-4, and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yuen U.S.
 Publication 2002/0005902 in view of McClintock U.S. Patent 5,598,208.

Referring to claim 3, the Yuen references discloses all subject matter as discussed in respected claim 1, and a recording system for recording the video images produced by the master camera and the additional cameras (See [0016]). However, the reference does not explicitly shows a user interface for selecting particular ones of the video images for display.

The McClintock reference discloses in Figures 1-4, a video camera recording system has a plurality of video cameras (22) and a user interface (input con troll 70) for selecting particular ones of the video images for display (See Col. 6, lines 18-22). The McClintock reference is evidence the one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to see more advantage for the video camera recording system including a user interface so that the viewer has more flexible option for selecting particular ones of the video images for display as he/she wishes

'Art Unit: 2612

review. For that reason, it would have been obvious to see the camera system having a user interface for selecting particular ones of the video images for display disclosed by Yuen.

Referring to claim 4, the McClintock reference discloses wherein the user interface permits the sequential selection of individual frames in the video images (See Col. 5, lines 52-65).

Referring to claim 8, the Yuen and McClintock references disclose all subject matter as discussed with respected to same comment as with claim 3.

Referring to claim 9, the Yuen and McClintock references disclose all subject matter as discussed with respected to same comment as with claim 4.

Claim 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yuen U.S.
 Publication 2002/0005902 in view of Hayashi et al. U.S. Patent 6,160,544.

Referring to claim 6, the Yuen references discloses all subject matter as discussed in respected claim 1, except that the reference does not explicitly show each of the additional cameras also comprising a microphone for supplying signals representative of audio signals received by the microphones to the control system.

The Hayashi reference disclose in Figure 2, a video camera system comprising a plurality of cameras that have microphones; and video signals (containing audio signals picked up by the microphones) from the cameras that are directly supplied to video distributors (See Col. 4, lines 22-31). The Hayashi reference is evidence the one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to see more advantage for the video camera has a microphone build in so that the user can recording both image and audio signal together and transmitter to remote system for

'Art Unit: 2612

reviewing late. For that reason, it would have been obvious to see each of the additional cameras also comprising a microphone for supplying signals representative of audio signals received by the microphones to the control system disclosed by Yuen.

10. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yuen U.S.
Publication 2002/0005902 in view of Hayashi et al. U.S. Patent 6,160,544 and McClintock
U.S. Patent 5,598,208.

Referring to claim 10, the Yuen, Hayashi and McClintock references disclose all subject matter as discussed with respected to same comment as with claim 1, 3-4 and 6.

#### Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - a. Oswald U.S. 3,217,098 discloses a plurality of spaced cameras are elevated above and positioned around the outside rail of the rack in order to photograph the racing contestants from a heads on position continuously throughout the course to the race as picture in Figure 1.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Lin Ye** whose telephone number is (703) 305-3250. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy R Garber can be reached on (703) 305-4929.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

'Art Unit: 2612

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Lin Ye May 24, 2004